•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/577,030	SCHONHORST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Dennis H. Pedder	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed  Tom the mailing date of this communication.  TOMED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	oril 2006.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	(a)-(d) or (f).	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived	
		···	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informa		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/577,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 26. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description correlated to the respective structure: "14a". While the spring appears to be shown in figure 7, there is no label. In figure 3, where the reference sign is located, there is no spring. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any

Application/Control Number: 10/577,030 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 3-6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 lacks antecedent for "a folding device" as in -said--.

Claim 4 lacks antecedent for "tensioning device" as in -folding device—and "each folding device" as only "at least one" is claimed.

As to claim 6, see the latter objection above.

Claim 8 is vague in "it".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Koppenstein et al. or Geiger et al. US 3,075,804 (804).
- 7. Both have the flexible roof with respective bows 3, 27. Both have a respective folding device 1/7, 12-14 that acts on all regions of the roof covering, including that in front of the

Application/Control Number: 10/577,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

tension bow. The cover, including the marginal region recited, folds in during opening of the roof.

- 8. As to claim 4, Koppenstein et al. have traction cable 12 and Geiger et al. have traction cable 24.
- 9. As to claim 7, the traction cables of both are tensioning devices that engage the vehicle body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Koppenstein et al. or Geiger et al., (804) in view of Just.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,030 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to extend a folding device toward a front region 16 of the roof and the rear tension bow of either of Koppenstein et al. or Geiger et al. as taught by Just in order to ensure sealing engagement.

- 14. As to claim 6, see spring 21 of Just.
- 15. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Koppenstein et al. or Geiger et al.(804)
- 16. Polyamide material is well known in the art, obvious to use here for its known advantages.

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

- 17. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Koppenstein et al. or Geiger et al. in view of Geiger, US 3,053,567.
- 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in either reference above a body mounted cover part 15 as taught by Geiger in order to cover the collapsed top.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dennis H. Pedder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

DHP 3/28/2008